MS. LANGDON:

Good

afternoon. My name is Susan Langdon, Director of Project Development for the Niagara County Industrial Development Agency. I will be serving as hearing officer for this public hearing. It is now 4:03 P.M.

The purpose of this hearing is to solicit comments, both written and oral, on the Summit Outlets, LP project in the Town of Wheatfield. This project entails the renovation of the former Summit Mall and its reopening as a multiuse tourist destination.

I have made copies available of the project's cost/benefit analysis, project summary and the application up here on the table.

Comments can be in support of, or in opposition to, or on the nature or location of the project. All comments are to be limited to this project only.

Notice is hereby given that a public hearing pursuant to Article 18-A of the New York General Municipal Law will be held by the Niagara County Industrial Development Agency (the

"Agency"), on the 28th day of October, 2014, at 4:00
P.M. local time, in the Conference Room at the
Niagara County Center for Economic Development,
6311 Inducon Corporate Drive, Suite One, Sanborn,

New York 14132, in connection with the following

6 matter.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The Summit Outlets, LP, and/or an individual or affiliate, subsidiary, or entity or entities formed, or to be formed on its behalf (the "Company"), has submitted an application to the Agency, a copy of which is on file at the office of the Agency, requesting that the Agency consider undertaking a project (the "Project"), consisting of: (A) the acquisition or retention by the Agency of fee title to or other interest in an approximately sixty-acre parcel of land located at 6929 Williams Road in the Town of Wheatfield, New York (the "Land"), together with the existing buildings located thereon (the "Existing Improvements"); (B) the renovation and modernization of approximately eight hundred thousand square feet of the Existing Improvements located on the Land transitioning from an outdated enclosed shopping

center to a mixed-use facility (collectively, the "Improvements"); (C) the acquisition and installation in and around the Improvements of certain items of machinery, equipment and other tangible personal property (the "Equipment", and collectively with the Land, Existing Improvements and the Improvements, the "Facility").

The Agency will acquire title to, or a leasehold interest in, the Facility, and lease the Facility back to the Company. The Company will operate the Facility during the term of the lease. At the end of the lease term, the Company will purchase the Facility from the Agency, or if the Agency holds a leasehold interest, the leasehold interest will be terminated. The Agency contemplates that it will provide financial assistance (the "Financial Assistance") to the Company in the form of sales and use tax exemptions and a mortgage tax recording exemption consistent with the policies of the Agency, and a partial real property tax abatement.

A representative of the Agency will be at the above-stated time and place to

present a copy of the Company's project application and hear and accept written and oral comments from all persons with views in favor of, or opposed to, or otherwise relevant to the proposed Financial

Assistance.

This public hearing is being conducted in accordance with Subdivision 2 of Section 859-a of the New York General Municipal Law, dated October 8, 2014, Niagara County Industrial Development Agency, by Samuel M. Ferraro, Executive Director.

I will now open the hearing for comments. Please remember to give your name, address and organization you may represent. Direct all comments to the Chair. And your comments should be made on this project only.

Does anyone wish to speak? Mr. Anderluh.

RON ANDERLUH: Yeah. Ron

Anderluh. I reside at 151 Buffalo Avenue, Niagara

Falls, New York. I'm here to speak as a concerned

citizen, first of all, and the Niagara Street

Business Association, of which I am the

Revitalization Coordinator, and also as a former manager and leasing agent for the old Summit Park Mall. I also was a manager out there back in the '70s when McCrory's was out there. And they continued to be there for about ten or fifteen years until they had to close down.

But I'm here to speak on this. I know the facility. When I was working with Mr. Anthony, who was the last owner of the mall, we worked very close together. He was from North Carolina, and I took care of things up here for him. We had a good staff there. Unfortunately, he didn't understand the principles of running a mall in this area. This is not North Carolina, and we -- it just didn't work for him, because what he was trying to do, didn't work in this area.

And I'm hoping that the new owners -- I'm glad to see that they have a mixed-use; stores, retail, entertainment. That's what we need. It's a prime location. And I just don't understand why no one has picked up this property before this, because it's so close to the airport, and so close to all the new hotels they're

building there. And I'm glad to see that a company has picked up the Summit Park Mall, and is willing to -- I'm not sure how much of his own private money he's putting into this. That's one question I had. I see that he's asking for fifteen million dollars.

I went through all the renovations back in 2004/2005. We spent three million dollars putting a new roof on the entire thing. And we upgraded all the air conditioners and furnaces on the roof at that time. We went through just about every store. At one time you could go into the Summit Park Mall, and under all the tiles there was hundreds and hundreds of buckets filling, taking the excess water off of that. But we repaired the roof. Like I say, it was a three-million-dollar project. And we put all the new air conditioning and furnaces in. We rehabbed just about every store in there. And it was all ready to rent.

And then he just, I guess, gave up, because he didn't want to listen to anybody about getting the right mix of tenants in there.

But with the new -- I don't have a problem with the new owner, it's just that he's got

to make sure he's got a good mix of tenants in there.

And I'd like to see some of his private money invested, also, in this mall, not just all the IDA money.

And with the jobs that it's going to create -- and I'm glad to see that all the contracting jobs and all the work will be Niagara County workers. So we need that here in Niagara County. We need jobs.

And I'm glad to welcome them into the area. And I wish you the best. If there's anything I can do to help, I'm here to assist you with my past experience and that, also. Thank you.

MS. LANGDON: Thank you.

Just as a point of information, I'd like to say that Mr. Cocov is not asking for fifteen million dollars from the IDA. He's using his own money, or bank financing, whatever it may be. The IDA is giving property tax abatements on the improvements only, and sales tax abatements on the construction materials, and a mortgage recording tax. So it's not public money that he's using.

	10
1	Does anyone else wish to speak?
2	Mr. Hamilton.
3	KEN HAMILTON: Do I have to
4	stand up?
5	MS. LANGDON: No. As long
6	as Dawn can hear you, we're good.
7	KEN HAMILTON: Can you hear
8	me?
9	THE REPORTER: Yes, sir.
10	KEN HAMILTON: Most people
11	never have a problem doing that.
12	Ken Hamilton, 3609 Highland
13	Avenue. I'm here as a private citizen who has a
14	great interest and a long-standing interest in the
15	development of Western New York.
16	We have every asset that we need
17	in order to make Western New York a contributor to
18	the economy of New York State, and to the United
19	States as a whole. And yet, we fail to do so too
20	often.
21	I'm not in favor of this project.
22	I'm sorry. I'm not in favor of this project. And
23	I'm not in favor of this project I joke and say

that because I went to school in Niagara Falls that I can't read; I can read and I can understand. In the Navy one of the things that we were taught is that a drowning person will grab ahold of the blade of a sword in total desperation. And I feel that too often that's where we are here in Niagara Falls, in Niagara County particularly in Western New York. If you read the application and actually do some due diligence on it, you will find that there are a great deal of huge, monstrous holes in this.

One is when you start to look at who actually owns the mall. Now, Mr. Anderluh had said that Jim Anthony once owned the mall. We have to look at the financing of this project. Who actually owns the mall? If I own a piece of property and I take -- and I -- somebody wants to buy it for me -- from me, rather, and I hold the mortgage, then who truly owns that piece of property? It defaults back to me, it's already mine. The person had not made full compensation for the purchase, therefore, that property is mine.

Now, that takes us to the issue of Mr. Anthony has already taken IDA benefits for that

piece of property. And he defaulted on that because, as Mr. Anderluh pointed out, the mall went belly-up, he's upsidedown, and therefore, because he is still -- maybe not titularly the title owner of that mall, but in essence, he really is. Therefore, we must ask the question, can someone who is in default already, who actually owns the mall as the holder of the paper and the former owner all in one, can the IDA accept this application.

Furthermore, we have to start to look at the application itself. Now, I used to write political speeches, so I know how to read and smell what's in a political speech. All of it's not all that great. When we start to look through this, we're finding that be as proposed tenants there are three anchor tenants there, Save-A-Lot; Sears, who owns their building; and the Bon-Ton at the other end of the -- at the other end of the mall. Other than that, the mall is fundamentally empty. People with whom I have spoken concerning this application say that there is nothing here in it that would substantiate us taking a risk as an IDA for anything in this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

~~

23

When we get to page seven where it says other proposed tenants, do -- is a liquor store mixed-use? A UPS shipping store and business incubator, when just down the street by a mile and a half UPS already has a facility. Can we really believe that UPS is going to give up a standalone facility that they already have, where they do their package shipping and breaking, and where you can actually go in there and do business with UPS would say we're going to give up this piece of property, which is almost fully amortized, to move into a mall that's wet? A hair salon, is that mixed-use? I mean, it's like we can't find hair salons in the city or in the town? A retail store. An alchemy. A church; there was once a church there. They moved out. A food court. A pharmacy.

And here's where it gets really, really good. When you start to look at the pharmacy, it is the Summit Pharmacy. That's owned by Steve Garow -- or Giroux. Steve was -- we made a call to Steve, asked him, has anyone talked to you about this application. And he affirmed that yes, they have, and that he declined, saying that I have

no intention of moving back into that mall. He owns
what, three or four other stores already elsewhere.
He's looking for something else other than that.

And then when I go and ask my engineering friends about the cost of the renovation of that mall, the estimates for the parking lot alone are between fourteen and twenty million dollars to bring that back up.

Yeah. Yeah. Don't, even with body language. This is what I've got. I'm sure they're going to give you an opportunity to speak if you want to speak.

You mentioned three million dollars for the roof. We know that that place has been pretty much harvested. The copper's missing. Most of the air conditioning units, of which Mr. Anderluh spoke, that they had put in years ago, they have been cannibalized, taken all of the important things out that would make those units work.

And so when we look at this, we have to say on the surface, just what's written in the application, if we read it carefully enough, we have to ask the question again, and I reiterate, who

actually owns the mall? Is the paper owner in default already with the IDA? And can we legally even accept this under these conditions?

And two, that when we begin to investigate what this mixed-use is, it's mostly proposed units. There is no contracts saying that we can even fill a portion of this mall to even begin to make it successful. It's just one of these things that really need to be further investigated.

Furthermore, the Town of
Wheatfield has not done their own environmental
investigations into the condition of this mall.
When we looked for the -- what was supposed to have
been attached -- the environmental statements, they
were not attached to the application. The Town of
Wheatfield does not have them on file. So already
in the township for which you are asking to go,
they have not had or have taken advantage of their
opportunity for due diligence.

And we know too little about any of this. In fact, when we looked at the website, it's a dot CO. Now, when we look at where the dot CO is, the country domain, we're doing business --

and this is not being xenophobic or anything -- but you start to look at -- well, it presents more questions than it asks (sic). You have a Bogota, Columbia website for a Russian Canadian who wants to do business in Western New York. I mean, it may all be good, but it raises a bunch of red flags that says perhaps we need to take another look at this before we even consider taking this -- accepting this application.

This application on its own looks like a rewrite, a regurgitation of something else. It's a -- it looks like the Frankenstein monster of applications. And like the Frankenstein monster, great experiment, but in the end, it always ends badly.

I strongly recommend that we forego the acceptance of this application until we get further details about this, until we actually check out these prospects to see if they are, indeed, really prospects or -- because I could write -- I mean, if you want, I can sit there and write another -- a hundred prospective clients in there, businesses in there. But it would not make

it any more valid. In fact, it would only make it less valid.

For fear of the more you say, the less you are saying, this is pretty much all that I have to say about this application. Again, I reiterate, I strongly recommend that we, or you as an IDA, do more due diligence on this prior to the acceptance. I don't want Niagara County to be embarrassed again. And I don't want any opportunity for development, of which we woefully need, in Niagara County to end up as something bad that might discourage others.

When we start to look at -- one other thing. When we start to look at what's happening along Military Road and Niagara Falls Boulevard, with the expansion of all of these things that are listed in here, you have to say, unless we grow the population, this program, this project, is doomed already anyway. So your partnership in it would only likely wind up to be, well, people with torches and pitchforks chasing down your Frankenstein monster and killing it anyway, and then looking for you all to do likewise. Thank you very much.

Ιt

1 MS. LANGDON: Thanks, Mr. 2 Hamilton. Does anybody else wish to speak? 3 RON ANDERLUH: May I say 4 something again? 5 MS. LANGDON: Well, briefly. 6 RON ANDERLUH: I just want to 7 say that back when I was there, we had a proposal to do the parking lot, and this goes back four or five 8 9 At that time, we had a proposal for about 10 three million dollars to do the parking lot, which 11 was, at that time, a lot of money. I don't know 12 what it might be now. But the parking lot is in bad condition. But I'm sure that with the proper 13 14 construction people there, they'd put it back to 15 where it was before. 16 And as I said earlier, you need 17 the right mix of tenants there. Churches, to me, 18 are not going to cut it in a mall. But you need a 19 good mixture of tenants. Maybe it has to go like 20 they did with the old Appletree Mall and turn it 21 into a business, like the Social Security office,

and all that, Motor Vehicles would be there.

it's a one-stop shopping center for all that.

22

23

1	could be a mixture and have a good food court and
2	some retail there, also. Thank you.
3	MS. LANGDON: Okay. Thank
4	you. All right. No one else wishing to speak,
5	I'll close the hearing. It is now 4:20 P.M.
6	Thank you for your attendance and your comments.
7	
8	
9	* * * *
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	