The purpose of this hearing is to solicit comments, both written and oral, on the HH 310, LLC Hamister Hotel project in the City of Niagara Falls. The proposed project will be a newly constructed Hyatt Place Hotel located at 310 Rainbow Boulevard.

I have copies of the project's cost/benefit analysis, summary and application up on the table here.

Comments can be in support of, or in opposition to, or on the nature or location of the project. All comments are to be limited to this project only.

Notice is hereby given that a public hearing pursuant to Article 18-A of the New York General Municipal Law will be held by the Niagara County Industrial Development Agency (the "Agency") on the 5th day of March, 2015 at 3:30 p.m. local time at Niagara Falls City Hall, Council Chambers, 745 Main Street, Niagara Falls, New York 14302, in connection with the following matter.

HH 310, LLC, and/or individuals or affiliates, subsidiaries, or entity or entities

formed, or to be formed on its behalf (the "Company"), has submitted an application to the Agency, a copy of which is on file at the office of the Agency, requesting that the Agency consider undertaking a project (the "Project") consisting of: (A) the acquisition or retention by the Agency of fee title to, or other interest in, a parcel of land located at 310 Rainbow Boulevard in the City of Niagara Falls, New York (the "Land"); (B) the construction of an approximately 107,000 square foot building (the "Improvements"), to consist of an approximately 128-room hotel and amenities; (C) the acquisition and installation in and around the Improvements of certain items of machinery, equipment and other tangible personal property (the "Equipment", and collectively with the Land and the Improvements, the "Facility").

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The Agency will acquire title to, or a leasehold interest in, the Facility and lease the Facility back to the Company. The Company will operate the Facility during the term of the lease. At the end of the lease term, the Company will purchase the Facility from the Agency, or if the

Agency holds a leasehold interest, the leasehold interest will be terminated. The Agency contemplates that it will provide financial assistance (the "Financial Assistance") to the Company in the form of sales and use tax exemptions and a mortgage tax exemption consistent with the policies of the Agency, and a partial real property tax abatement.

A representative of the Agency will be at the above-stated time and place to present a copy of the Company's project application, and hear and accept written and oral comments from all persons with views in favor of, or opposed to, or otherwise relevant to the proposed Financial Assistance.

This public hearing is being conducted in accordance with Subdivision 2 of Section 859-a of the New York General Municipal Law. Dated: February 11, 2015, Niagara County Industrial Development Agency.

I will now open the hearing for comments. Please remember to give your name, address, and the organization you represent. Direct

all comments to the Chair. Your comments are to be made on this project only.

Mayor Dyster.

MAYOR DYSTER: My name is

Paul A. Dyster. I'm mayor of the City of Niagara

Falls. My address is 745 Main Street, Niagara

Falls, New York 14302. I welcome the opportunity

to speak. And thank you very much, also, for

accommodating my schedule by letting me speak first.

I'm here to speak on behalf of the granting of the standard ten-year PILOT to this project, and very briefly, the reasons for making that recommendation. The parcel on which the project will be located, 310 Rainbow, has not had a permanent structure located on it since the time of Urban Renewal. And in fact, this proposal would be the first mixed-use development in downtown Niagara Falls since Urban Renewal on this scale. It would deliver benefits to the City of Niagara Falls in the form of property tax, sales tax, and PILOT payments, and then upon termination of the PILOT, you know, the whole property taxes on the parcel.

a cash participant in the redevelopment agreement governing the parcel. However, the City is providing the parcel upon which the development would be located without asking for the full value of the parcel. So we are, in fact, a stakeholder in this project. That parcel came to the City as a result of a gift by David Cordish. It was part of the gift that also facilitated the construction nearby of the Niagara Falls Culinary Institute. a city-owned parcel, the parcel is not currently generating taxes for the City. The only benefit currently is a very modest rent that's being paid by a temporary use on the property; basically a food training program and adjoining parking lot. So the construction of this project would be, to our way of thinking, a very great improvement upon the current use of the property.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

We, in working with USA Niagara

Development Company, are trying to abide by the

opinions in an HVS study that identified the need

for additional hotel rooms in downtown Niagara Falls

at the three-star or higher level. We currently,

during many peak periods of occupancy during the

summertime, but now also increasingly the colder season, sell out hotel rooms downtown and cannot accommodate additional visitors. We have difficulty sometimes supplying blocks of rooms for conferences or conventions that want to come here, because too many rooms are already booked. So supplying additional hotel rooms in this area will be a benefit to the City.

There's also, although there are additional establishments coming on line, there's a shortage of places for people to get something to eat in the downtown area. And so additional opportunities for restaurants and retail along Falls Street would also be very welcome.

So for these, and a variety of other reasons too numerous to mention, I'm here in support of the granting of the PILOT.

And again, this one sort of final comment; in discussions between the developer, USA Niagara Development Corporation, and the City of Niagara Falls, the assumption has been that the applicant would apply for the standard-year PILOT, and would be granted the standard ten-year PILOT.

And so that calculation then became part of the gap analysis, or what we call the but-for analysis, that stood as the basis for the State incentive, both the original State incentive proposal, and then after there were changes made to the project when the flag changed to Hyatt Place, the cost of the project or value of the project increased, and a second calculation of the gap and the project both assumed the granting of a ten-year PILOT. So in fact, if a ten-year PILOT were not granted, it would force a large number of recalculations related to this project that I don't think any of us would want to see happen.

So again, it has our very strong support. Thank you.

MS. LANGDON: Thank you very much. Does anyone else wish to speak?

Mr. Hamilton.

KEN HAMILTON: Ken Hamilton,
3609 Highland Avenue. I am somewhat neutral on
this particular project, but think that better
understanding and better information, better
amplification will serve all of the citizens well.

In the application and in the news sources there seems to be a conflict as to the number of full-time jobs that there may be.

Now, I'm going to speak slowly and clearly for you; plus, I'm sick.

It was supposed to be thirty-five full-time jobs. The Buffalo News reported six full-time jobs to start, and then the balance being part-time jobs. In the application it says twenty-one full-time employees. We're getting numbers like six, twenty-one and thirty-five, and it starts to look like a shell game of some sort.

Those numbers need to be rectified.

We need to fully understand what it is that we're getting for the surrender of the property that we're giving up in downtown Niagara Falls, a PILOT, a reduction in property taxes for the City of Niagara Falls. As I go through the application, I'm finding -- well, it's not necessarily in the application, but what it is in is the news reports, where it is said that the annual hotel occupancy taxes would be two hundred and five thousand dollars annually, with five hundred and

twenty thousand dollars a year on state and county property taxes. New York State has no property taxes. And so that was confusing. I don't know if Mr. Fink, who reported for the Buffalo News, who's a very able person and very skilled in real estate issues, misheard or if this was misspoken, but we need some clarification on that, as well.

We start to look at the one point one million dollar payroll; and it becomes significant if we're looking at a payroll for six full-time employees, a payroll for twenty-one full-time employees, or a payroll for thirty-five full-time employees. And those numbers will fluctuate rather wildly.

Also, when I look through the application, and particularly in the environmental impact, and I'm not talking about what's in the ground, but in the issues that surround the hotel, the hotel placement and the amount of money that they're getting in grants is predicated on the fact that this is supposed to be a catalytic hotel, in which it will spur other growth in the area.

In the application, the Hamister

Group points out the fact, and makes special note to point out the fact, that what they're doing is replacing a parking lot that has a lot of movement of traffic in and out of the area. And yet, on the same application, they point out the fact that they will have no significant business impact upon other businesses in the area.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The question that begs to be asked at that point is if you remove that parking lot, the businesses for which those people were going in and out of the parking lot will be negatively impacted. And it's probably a question that better suits the City than it does the developer. One, have we done a traffic study in that area? As Mr. Dyster pointed out just moments ago that this a transformative project which will bring us back to the traffic levels that existed in the 1950s, or perhaps even higher. We have the Rainbow Bridge that's right there that typically backs up traffic all the way to John Daly Boulevard. And then we're putting in more in fill hotels, which is not a bad thing. question is, how do accommodate the parking for the businesses that now depend upon that parking? Have

we made any accommodations for things like that? We don't want a hotel to be a negative contributor or a detractor from the businesses that are already preexisting. There will be more hotels to follow, which is what our hope is. Therefore, what will we do with the parking that has now been displaced by the hotel?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

We have seen the same thing happen on Main Street, on North Main Street, which was the demise of Main Street. Whereas, because of the suburban malls and the LaSalle strip malls where people can park in front of the stores, in the 1960s we tore down housing behind the stores in order to put parking lots. Those parking lots are there now, and they sit empty because the lack of parking and the lack of walk-up businesses, or walk-up patrons rather, has left the businesses without customers. I'd hate to see the fact that there's no parking in proximity to many of those businesses, or insufficient parking to many of those businesses, that these businesses will now close or be hurt by the fact that the hotel is there.

Also, and this is about the last

one, is that this is Mr. Hamister's first Niagara County venture, and it may be addressed to the IDA, as well, in that I see nothing in that application concerning equal employment opportunities. Ιn Niagara County the minority population is less than five percent. And so that would not be a difficult target to me on just natural employment practices. In the City of Niagara Falls, the minority population is closer to thirty percent. And without some sort of assurances that the Hamister Group will seek out to get fair employment to the minority community that really needs these jobs, try to meet, not a quota, but a goal of around thirty percent, then I am not at all comfortable with the citizens' tax dollars going towards a project for which they will not benefit and that we import people from outside of the city.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I understand that Mr. Sloma and the rest of the IDA has encouraged what he considers to be local employment goals. But when you look at the county and you look at the City, you're looking at two very separate localities, two separate dynamics. And therefore, I would be more

comfortable if Mr. Hamister and his organization puts in writing that they do have goals, and have a way of meeting those goals, and that those goals are not just limited to part-time seasonal employees, but to full-time staffers in some sort of a professional status.

It's not a bad project. I have spoken with many people concerning this project. I am not excited about the project. But what I am looking at are the long-term ramifications of what this project will do, and the unintended consequences as a result of that. And I just want to make sure that we have touched all bases around this project.

I know you don't answer any questions here, but I would like someone from the IDA who will answer questions concerning the points that I have just made. Thank you very much.

MS. LANGDON: Thank you.

Does anybody else wish to speak? Sir.

EARL BASS: My name is

Earl Bass, 2913 Michigan Avenue. I'm a citizen of

Niagara Falls.

I come to speak not in opposition to the project itself, just as opposed to how it stands. When the project was first presented to me as far as the different media outlets, it was presented as this catalytic project that would spur a lot of development in downtown Niagara Falls.

And for me, being a young person who has seen the decline, and then somewhat of a resurgence of the City, I think the project the way it stands as-is is not exactly what we need.

I feel that we need more family-oriented attractions downtown. The Hyatt Place is designed more so for business travelers. When the project was first presented, it was presented as, I believe, a Hilton Garden Inn, and the flag has since changed.

As far as the amount of state incentives, and possibly the IDA incentives that this project will get, at a total of over seven million dollars, I think this project could be more, considering that it will have that much aid coming from taxpayers. And me, being a taxpayer; me, being so dedicated to the City and its future, I just

would -- I would like to see more from the project, as far as -- I mean, you could even have something having a built-in attraction, instead of the proposed retail space, maybe even something permanent, something like a family interactive project, a museum. Something that would entice people not to just stay in the hotel, but to invest in the area. When you have people who come to Niagara Falls, they get rooms, they spend fifteen minutes or an hour over here, and then they go to the Canadian side. I think when we're building things from the ground up, that we should do things that entice them more.

So I'm not opposed to development at all. I just would like to see a little bit more, some tweaks to the project that would cater to the needs of what we need in the City now. Thank you.

MS. LANGDON: Thank you.

Does anyone else wish to speak?

There being no further speakers, it is now 3:55 and I will adjourn the hearing. You very much for coming, and for your comments.

* * * *